

Primordial Magnetic Fields and How To Find Them in CMB Polarization

Levon Pogosian

Simon Fraser University
Burnaby, BC, Canada

Outline

Primordial magnetic fields and their CMB imprints

Current bounds on the PMF

The promise of Faraday Rotation

What can PICO tell us about the PMF?

Based on work with Soma De, Brian Keating, Yun Li, Bess Ng, Meir Shimon, Tanmay Vachaspati, Amit Yadav, Alex Zucca, and the POLARBEAR collaboration

See LP & A. Zucca, 1801.08936, for a related review

Cosmic Magnetic Fields

- Origin of 1-10 μG fields in galaxies and clusters
 - purely astrophysical? (dynamo, SN, ...)
 - purely primordial? (need nG coherent on 1 Mpc)
 - some combination of the two?
- Evidence of magnetic fields in voids
 - missing GeV γ -ray halos around TeV blazars
- Generated in the early universe – not “if”, but “how much”
 - phase transitions
 - inflationary mechanisms
 - a window into the early universe
- A distinct signature in CMB could prove their primordial origin
 - Current upper bounds from CMB are at 1 nG level
 - PICO, S4 can go below 0.1 nG and rule out the purely primordial origin




Image courtesy of NRAO/AUI

Inflationary Magnetogenesis

- The Maxwell action of the electromagnetic field

$$S = - \int \sqrt{-g} d^4x \frac{1}{16\pi} g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}^* &= \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu} \\ S^* &= S \end{aligned}$$

is conformally invariant, and FRW is conformally flat. Cannot amplify EM wave fluctuations in a FRW universe.

- Introduce couplings that break the conformal invariance:

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g} d^4x b(t) \left[-\frac{f^2(\phi, R)}{16\pi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - g_1 R A^2 + g_2 \theta F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} - D_\mu \psi (D^\mu \psi)^* \right]$$

e.g. couplings to the inflaton, curvature, axion, extra-D, charged scalars

- See early work by Turner and Widrow (1988) and Ratra (1992), recent review by Subramanian (1504.02311)

Stochastic Primordial Magnetic Field

- Frozen in to the plasma on large scales, amplitude decays as $B(a)=B_0/a^2$
- Magnetic field power spectrum:

$$\langle b_i(\mathbf{k})b_j(\mathbf{k}') \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') [(\delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j) S(k) + i \varepsilon_{ijl} \hat{k}_l A(k)]$$

$$S(k) \propto k^n, \quad 0 < k < k_{\text{diss}}$$

- Common measures of cosmological magnetic fields:

$$B_\lambda^2 \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{k^2 dk}{2\pi^2} S(k) e^{-\lambda^2 k^2} \quad B_{\text{eff}} \equiv \sqrt{8\pi\epsilon_B}$$

- Fields generated in phase transitions have $n=2$ on CMB scales
(Durrer and Caprini, 2003; Jedamzik and Sigl, 2010)
- For scale-invariant PMF, $n=-3$: $B_\lambda = B_{\text{eff}}$
(Turner & Widrow, 1988; Ratra. 1992)

Magnetic field effects on CMB

- Gravitational coupling

$$T_0^0 \propto -B^2$$
$$T_j^i \propto B^2 \delta_j^i - 2B^i B_j$$

scalar (curvature), vector (vorticity), tensor (gravitational waves) modes

- Electromagnetic coupling



Lorentz force causes vorticity fluctuations in plasma



Magnetic energy dissipates, dumps energy into the plasma

- spectral distortions
- modified ionization history



Faraday Rotation



Observable CMB signatures of the PMF

- Spatial correlations of anisotropies
- Shift in the time of last scattering
- Departures from the black body spectrum
- Faraday Rotation
 - Frequency dependence
 - Mode-coupling correlations

Passive (adiabatic) and Active (isocurvature) PMF modes





The way PMF sources CMB fluctuations differs before and after neutrinos decouple

- **Before neutrino decoupling:** nothing balances the PMF anisotropic stress
 - Added contribution to the scalar adiabatic mode
 - Added contribution to the primordial **tensor mode**

$$H^{(2)} \approx R_\gamma \Pi_B^{(2)} \left[\log(\tau_\nu/\tau_B) + \left(\frac{5}{8R_\nu} - 1 \right) \right]$$

- **After neutrino decoupling:** the PMF anisotropic stress compensated by neutrinos
 - Actively sourced **compensated** scalar, **vector** and tensor modes

PMF contributions to the CMB spectra



MagCAMB and MagCosmoMC



Alex Zucca


- Publicly available patches to CAMB and CosmoMC

<https://alexzucca90.github.io/MagCAMB/>

<https://github.com/alexzucca90/MagCosmoMC>

- Developed by A. Zucca (SFU) based on original papers by Lewis (2004) and Shaw & Lewis (0911.2714)

PMF contributions to the B-mode spectrum




PMF contributions to the B-mode spectrum

$$B_1 = 1.83 \text{ nG}, \quad T_* = 10^{14} \text{ GeV},$$


$$B_1 = 3.04 \text{ nG}, \quad T_* = 10^3 \text{ GeV},$$

$$B_1 = 5.5 \text{ nG}, \quad T_* = 57 \text{ MeV}.$$



PMF contributions to the B-mode spectrum

$B_1 = 1.83 \text{ nG}, T_* = 10^{14} \text{ GeV},$
 $B_1 = 3.04 \text{ nG}, T_* = 10^3 \text{ GeV},$
 $B_1 = 5.5 \text{ nG}, T_* = 57 \text{ MeV}.$




PMF contributions to the B-mode spectrum


$$B_1 = 1.83 \text{ nG}, \quad T_* = 10^{14} \text{ GeV},$$

$$B_1 = 3.04 \text{ nG}, \quad T_* = 10^3 \text{ GeV},$$

$$B_1 = 5.5 \text{ nG}, \quad T_* = 57 \text{ MeV}.$$



Bounds from Planck combined with SPT B-modes



- $B_{1\text{Mpc}} < 1.2$ nG at 95% CL for a nearly scale-invariant PMF
- Adding SPT BB reduces the Planck bound on $B_{1\text{Mpc}}$ by a factor of 2
- using a uniform prior on $B_{1\text{Mpc}}$ can lead to fake bounds on n_B

Crossing the nano-Gauss barrier

- Magnetic stress-energy is quadratic in B


$$\begin{aligned} T_0^0 &\propto -B^2 \\ T_j^i &\propto B^2 \delta_j^i - 2B^i B_j \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, $C_L \sim B^4$
- Bounds based on CMB spectra will always remain at O(nG)*

*Potentially very strong bounds from modified recombination history
Jedamzik and Abel (2011, 2013), Jedamzik and Saveliev, 1804.06115

Faraday Rotation is linear in B

Faraday Rotation



- For CMB: $\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \frac{3c^2\nu_0^{-2}}{16\pi^2e} \int \dot{\tau} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = c^2\nu_0^{-2} \text{RM}(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$
- Most of the rotation occurs at last scattering (if there is a PMF) and inside our galaxy

Faraday Rotation converts E-modes into B-modes

$$B_{lm} = 2 \sum_{LM} \sum_{l'm'} \alpha_{LM} E_{l'm'} \xi_{lm'l'm'}^{LM} H_{ll'}^L$$

One can reconstruct the rotation angle from mode-coupling EB correlations (Kamionkowski, 2009; Glusevic, Kamionkowski, Cooray, 2009)

$$\hat{D}_{ll'}^{LM, \text{map}} = \frac{4\pi}{(2l+1)(2l'+1)} \sum_{mm'} B_{lm}^{\text{map}} E_{l'm'}^{\text{map}*} \xi_{lm'l'm'}^{LM}$$

$$[\hat{\alpha}_{LM}]_{ll'} = \frac{\hat{D}_{ll'}^{LM, \text{map}}}{2C_l^{EE} H_{ll'}^L}$$

Generalized to a **multiple channel Rotation Measure (RM) estimator** in LP, 1311.2926

Faraday Rotation converts E-modes into B-modes

$$B_{lm} = 2 \sum \sum \alpha_{LM} E_{l'm'} \xi_{lm'l'm'}^{LM} H_{ll'}^L$$

Reconstr
(Kamionkov

$$B = \alpha * E$$

relations

therefore

$$\langle EB \rangle = \alpha \langle EE \rangle$$

LM
 $_{ml'm'}$

then we can find alpha from

$$\alpha = \langle EB \rangle / \langle EE \rangle$$

if $\langle EE \rangle$ is known

estimator

Generaliz
in Pogosi

\hat{D}

What scales are probed?

- Most of the information comes from CMB correlations at $300 < l < 3000$
 - need low noise high resolution polarization maps
 - can work with small ($f \sim 0.1$) patches of sky near Galactic poles
- Large scale correlations of the rotation angle are constrained the best
 - for a scale-invariant rotation spectrum most S/N comes from $2 < l < 100$




FIG. 2: The anisotropic cosmic rotation power spectra from POLARBEAR's first-season data in three patches. The spectrum of an individual patch is indicated by the green (RA23), blue (RA12) and orange (RA4.5) colors. The coadded (red) power spectrum is consistent with zero.


At 148 GHz this implies a bound of 90 nano-Gauss at 95% CL

What about PICO?

nu (GHz)	FWHM (arcmin)	PolWeight ($\mu\text{K} \cdot \text{arcmin}$)
21	38.4	16.3
25	32.0	11.7
30	28.3	7.8
36.0	23.6	5.6
43.2	22.2	5.4
51.8	18.4	4.0
62.2	12.8	3.9
74.6	10.7	3.2
89.6	9.5	2.0
107.5	7.9	1.7
129.0	7.4	1.6
154.8	6.2	1.4
185.8	4.3	2.5
222.9	3.6	3.1
267.5	3.2	2.0
321.0	2.6	3.0
385.2	2.5	3.3
462.2	2.1	7.8
554.7	1.5	44.1
665.6	1.3	176.9
798.7	1.1	1260.7

Optimistic range

Conservative
Science Frequency
Range



Best case forecast (no systematics)

	PICO BB	PICO FR (75-150 GHz)	PICO FR (50-150 GHz)
1σ bound on PMF	0.35 nG	0.06 nG	0.05 nG

Compared to other CMB experiments

	Planck +SPT	PB	SPT-3G	Simons Obs.	CMB-S4	PICO
1σ bound from BB, TT, EE, TE	1 nG	2.5 nG	0.8 nG	0.9 nG	0.4 nG	0.35 nG
1σ bound from FR	n/a	50 nG	0.55 nG	0.4 nG	0.07 nG	0.05 nG

Systematic Effects

- Weak Lensing contribution to B-modes
- Faraday Rotation in our own galaxy
- Beam Asymmetry
- Galactic foregrounds

Systematics due to Weak Lensing (WL)

- Mode-coupling due to WL is of opposite parity, does not mix with the FR induced mode-coupling
- WL contributes to the variance of the FR estimator through

$$\tilde{C}_l^{X^i Y^j} \equiv C_l^{XY, \text{prim}} + f_L C_l^{XY, \text{WL}} + \delta_{X^i Y^j} \sigma_{P,i}^2$$

- $0 < f_L < 1$ quantifies how well the WL contribution can be subtracted

- Perfect WL subtraction ($f_L = 0$) : $B_{\text{PICO}} < 0.05$ nG
- No WL subtraction ($f_L = 1$) : $B_{\text{PICO}} < 0.125$ nG

Galactic Rotation Measure

$$\alpha(\hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \lambda_0^2 RM(\hat{\mathbf{n}})$$







FIG. 3: The RM angular spectra, $L(L+1)C_L^{\text{RM}}/2\pi$, obtained from the RM map of Oppermann et al [16] with different cuts. Shown are the RM spectra corresponding to, from top to bottom, a scale-invariant PMF of 1 nG, galaxy with no sky cut, with a mask used by Planck for their 70 GHz map, a Planck mask for the 30 GHz map, and symmetric cuts corresponding to $f_{\text{sky}} = 0.4$ and $f_{\text{sky}} = 0.1$.



Systematics due to Galactic FR

- Faraday Rotation inside our galaxy lowers the signal-to-noise of primordial FR

$$\left(\frac{S}{N}\right)^2 = \sum_{L=1}^{L_{max}} \frac{(f_{\text{sky}}/2)(2L+1)[C_L^{\text{RM,PMF}}]^2}{[C_L^{\text{RM,PMF}} + f_G C_L^{\text{RM,G}} + \sigma_{\text{RM},L}^2]^2}$$

- $0 < f_G < 1$ quantifies how well Galactic contribution can be subtracted
- Need an independent measurement, such as the Oppermann et al RM map
- Near galactic poles, Galactic FR looks the same as scale-invariant PMF of 0.1 nG

- Perfect galactic FR subtraction ($f_G = 0$) : $B_{\text{PICO}} < 0.05 \text{ nG}$
- No galactic FR subtraction ($f_G = 1$) : $B_{\text{PICO}} < 0.1 \text{ nG}$

Systematics due to Beam Asymmetry

- Beam imperfections induce EE, TE, BB, EB and TB correlations
- Parameterized contributions to CMB spectra for a dual polarized beam experiment derived in Shimon, Keating, Ponthieu, Hivon, 0709.1513
- Our (very) preliminary forecast includes effects of differential pointing, differential ellipticities, and differential rotation
- Asymmetry parameters tuned to achieve target sensitivity to r
- For PICO, assumed target sensitivity of $\sigma_r = 5 \times 10^{-5}$
 - No beam systematics : $B_{\text{PICO}} < 0.05 \text{ nG}$
 - With beam systematics: $B_{\text{PICO}} < 0.25 \text{ nG}$

Other sources of birefringence

- Coupling to a pseudoscalar, axion-like field:

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}a\partial^{\mu}a + \frac{a}{2f_a}F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

- Unlike the PMF, can induce uniform (isotropic) rotation, sourcing C_L^{EB} and C_L^{TB}

- Current bounds on uniform rotation: $\alpha_{iso} < 30$ arcmin
- PICO (best case) forecast: $\alpha_{iso} < 0.03$ arcmin
- PICO (with systematics) forecast: $\alpha_{iso} < 0.2$ arcmin

- Stochastic rotation spectrum generated during inflation


Pospelov, Ritz, Skordis, PRL, 0808.0673

- Current bound (Planck, PB): $f_a > 10^{15} \text{ GeV} \frac{H}{10^{14} \text{ GeV}}$
- PICO forecast: $f_a > 10^{18} \text{ GeV} \frac{H}{10^{14} \text{ GeV}}$
- Non-trivial bounds on String Theory axions and inflation

Summary

- Cosmic **magnetic fields are real**, and maybe primordial in origin
- Mode-coupling correlations induced by Faraday Rotation can reduce the upper bound on PMF from 1 nG to below 0.1 nG
- This would rule out a purely primordial origin of galactic magnetic fields
- Need Q & U with **high resolution, low noise** at **lower frequencies**
- Potentially interesting science from cross-correlations of CMB polarization rotation maps with Synchrotron maps and Rotation Measures of radio sources

PMF contributions to the B-mode spectrum



REPORT

Evidence for Strong Extragalactic Magnetic Fields from Fermi Observations of TeV Blazars

Andrii Neronov^{*}, Ievgen Vovk


 Author Affiliations

ISDC Data Centre for Astrophysics, Geneva Observatory, Ch. d'Ecogia 16, Versoix 1290, Switzerland.

 ^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: Andrii.Neronov@unige.ch

ABSTRACT

Magnetic fields in galaxies are produced via the amplification of seed magnetic fields of unknown nature. The seed fields, which might exist in their initial form in the intergalactic medium, were never detected. We report a lower bound $B \geq 3 \times 10^{-16}$ gauss on the strength of intergalactic magnetic fields, which stems from the nonobservation of GeV gamma-ray emission from electromagnetic cascade initiated by tera-electron volt gamma rays in intergalactic medium. The bound improves as $\lambda_B^{-1/2}$ if magnetic field correlation length, λ_B , is much smaller than a megaparsec. This lower bound constrains models for the origin of cosmic magnetic fields.



Radiative transport with Faraday Rotation

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{Q} + i(\vec{k} \cdot \hat{n})Q &= -\dot{\tau}Q + 2\omega_B U + S_+ \\ \dot{U} + i(\vec{k} \cdot \hat{n})U &= -\dot{\tau}U - 2\omega_B Q + S_-\end{aligned}$$

$$\omega_B = \frac{d\alpha}{d\eta} = \frac{3\lambda_0^2}{2\pi e} \dot{\tau} \mathbf{B} \cdot \hat{n}$$