AARM MINUTES – May 27, 2014

The DOE workshop on G2/G3 infrastructure is in flux – we will need to be nimble to react to their plans, but

1. We will pursue a FWP through PNNL. This will be mainly in the line of organizing screening and a website

2. We should consider what is left and write an NSF proposal that completes the package.

These should form the basis of any presentation we will forward to the agencies at a workshop as defined above.

To this aim, we need to re-examine our priorities as defined by the March AARM meeting and the working groups. Our next meeting : June 3 at 9 am, should consist of reports from each working group about what they have done and what should be in a new proposal. Please check the posted priority summary and prepare a few slides summarizing how we go forward and what your group will do

<https://zzz.physics.umn.edu/lowrad/_media/prioritize.pdf>

We will also try for a 6 month no-cost extension of our current work. While we will try to reserve some travel money to investigate nuclear recoil scale work at Notre Dame, TUNL, and Texas A&M, a full scale workshop will not be organized. We prefer to spend the money left over to fund continuing work on the veto shield/NMM analysis. Syracuse needs to estimate what is required to fund Ray and the cost of the NMM and Minnesota needs to calculate personnel and veto shield maintenance costs.