Gamma-ray Screeners and Overall thoughts for discussion

Dongming Mei, USD

Very Low background techniques

- Ge spectroscopy: gamma-ray emitting nuclides
 Rn emission assay: ²²⁶Ra, ²²⁸Th
- 3. Neutron activation analysis: primordial parents
- 4. Liquid scintillator counting: α and β emitting nuclides
- 5. Mass spectroscopy (ICPMS, AMS): primordial parents
- **6.** Alpha spectroscopy: α emitting nuclides
- 7. Beta cage: beta emitting nuclides?

Sensitivities

- 1. Ge spectroscopy: Gamma-ray emitting nuclides 10 100 uBq/kg
- 2. Rn emission assay: ²²⁶Ra, ²²⁸Th 0.1-10 uBq/kg
- 3. Neutron activation analysis: primordial parents 0.01 uBq/kg
- 4. Liquid scintillator counting: α and β emitting nuclides 1 mBq/kg
- 5. Mass spectroscopy (ICPMS, AMS): primordial parents 1-100 uBq/kg

1 mBq/kg

?

- 6. Alpha spectroscopy: α emitting nuclides
- 7. Beta Cage: beta emitting nuclides

Phase-Approached Gamma-ray screeners

- 1. Sub-ppb or 1 mBq/kg:
 - a. Detector: \$50-60 K depending on the energy resolution
 - b. Cryostat: very low background \$10 K
 - c. Inner shield: OFHC copper \$150/brick with 2"x4"x8", \$20 K
 - d. Outer shield without water shielded room: lead: \$100/ brick with 2"x4"x8, \$40K
 - e. Rn exclusion box: \$3K
 - f. DAQ: \$35K
 - g. LN auto: \$25K
 - h. Office: \$10K
 - i. Total: \$868 K without water shielded room
 - j. Total: \$628K with water shielded room

Ultra-low sensitivity



Majorana



- 1. GeMPI: ~10-100 uBq/kg or ~1-10 ppt
- 2. GeMPII: ~10 uBq/kg ~1 ppt?
- 3. GeMPIII:?
- 1. ~0.01 ppt, the inner-most shield must be electroformed copper Produced underground
- 2. ~40 cm lead outer shield
- **3. Multiple detectors with PSD**
- 4. ~30 cm Poly shield outside plus veto

What we do for ultra-low screeners?

- **1. GeMPI type screeners with ppt level sensitivity or better**
- 2. Neutron shield is needed
- 3. Inner shield must be very pure copper (electroformed copper is the best)
- 4. Outer shield must be very pure lead
- 5. Cost analysis
 - a. Without water shield room: \$3.5 M (detector: \$200K)
 - b. With water shield room: \$1.5 M
 - c. Difference: clean room, engineering, outer shield, neutron shield for 4 individual detectors

Water shield room in needed

1. Neutron shield is needed for ultra-low screeners including gamma-ray and Alpha/beta screeners

a. H-M experiment: 0.05 cnts/keV/kg/year in the ROI were removed by adding 10 cm poly. It is about 40% of the total background budget

b. IGEX: 40 cm poly, 40% of events in the ROI were vetoed

- c. Neutrons are needed to be shielded from the detectors
- 2. With a water shielded room, it makes shielding design, engineering, and operation more convenient
- 3. It is easier to purify water down to sub-ppt level but it is difficult to find lead with purity at a level of sub-ppt (can be in ppt level, a few Bq/kg of ²¹⁰Pb is often presented in lead). Even tough, it is expensive any way.
- 4. Save about \$2.24 M for gamma-ray screeners along for individual shielding for gamma-rays and neutrons

Suggestions

- 1. Leave immersion tank outside the water shielded room to save the space and money for the water shield room. Only gamma-ray screeners and alpha/beta screeners are inside the water shielded room. This way, the water shielded room can be built within a few million dollars
- 2. Immersion tank will be built in a class 1000 clean room with radon reduced air (<1 mBq) and active water shield and veto. This way is cheaper and easier to engineering. The cost would be about 1 million dollars (my first order guess)
 - a. measuring radiopurity for ultra-pure water for LBNE
 - **b.** measuring intrinsic radio-purity for germanium detectors
 - c. whole body counting for large objects, which can not be counted accurately with any type of screeners. For example: large PMTs for proton decay and dark matter searches
- 3. The total equipment budget can be controlled within \$10 M for FAARM