

# Comments on Paper

Kim Palladino

2/25/2016

# Apologies

- Though I'm on the call I'm on the road, so I may not be able to see everything
- I uploaded everything quite late last night so you haven;t had time to see it till now
- I haven't made my schematic drawing yet
- I put in a few edits (plurals, adverb locations)

# Introduction comments

- More citations on importance of alpha-N backgrounds?
- We should comment on how to get SOURCES4A and its modifications: Oakridge and then personal email with Vitaly (?)
  - Language of SOURCES, point to tutorials?
- USD code should be described more too: that you enter information into a webpage, but do not have access to the code behind the scenes
- Other inputs to the calculations: composition (do both make “natural” materials with the same recipe), density
- Should we also point to our comparison website for more values?

# Some confusing things

- Do we need comment on different inputs: differential vs total cross sections?
- This sentence is tough to parse: The column (b) refers to the ratio of radiogenic neutron yield resulting from the same algorithm calculation (SOURCES4A) but with USD (column (3)) and SOURCES4A (column (2)) input ( $\alpha$ , n) cross-sections.
- The table is also difficult:

TABLE III. Radiogenic neutron yield ( $\text{n/s/cm}^3$ ) for copper and polyethylene materials and for  $^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  decay chains. Column (1) and (2) refer to pure USD and SOURCES4A calculation, respectively. Column (3) refers to SOURCES4A calculation with USD ( $\alpha$ , n) cross section libraries. A ratio of the neutron yield is also provided: column (a) refers to the ratio of (2) over (1), whereas column (b) corresponds to the ratio (2)/(3)

Material	Chain	Neutron Yield ( $10^{-12} \cdot \text{n} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ )			Ratio	
		(1)	(2)	(3)	(a)	(b)
Copper	$^{238}\text{U}$	3.46	2.84	2.93	0.8	1.0
	$^{232}\text{Th}$	11.1	9.49	9.18	0.9	1.0
Polyethylene ( $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ )	$^{238}\text{U}$	9.56	12.6	16.4	1.3	0.8
	$^{232}\text{Th}$	2.87	5.28	5.97	1.8	0.9

# Validation

- Can we comment on validation?
- A paper to read (higher energy) <http://journals.aps.org/prc/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevC.92.044601>
- Comment that DarkSide uses TALYS <https://conferences.pa.ucla.edu/dm16/talks/agnes.pdf>
- Other TALYS users near our field (from brief, quick search- I haven't read them yet)
  - <http://arxiv.org/abs/1509.07436>
  - <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1140%2Fepja%2Fi2015-15012-5>
  - <http://arxiv.org/abs/1306.6210>
  - <http://inspirehep.net/record/821723>