



# aHomestake Array and Wiener Filtering

Michael Coughlin, Jan Harms

October 25, 2015



# Introduction

aHomestake  
Array and Wiener  
Filtering

M. Coughlin

Introduction

Array

Coherence

Conclusion

With the original Homestake array:

- 1 We demonstrated that we can achieve more than an order of magnitude seismic-noise cancellation between about 0.05-0.5 Hz using Wiener filters with only a few seismometers separated by a distance of order 500 m.
- 2 At least a factor 50 NN reduction should in principle be feasible at the Homestake site around 0.1 Hz (subject to assumptions about scattering).
- 3 We have showed that this subtraction performance can be achieved without regularly updating the filter, indicating that the average properties of seismic fields at Homestake do not change significantly over timescales of weeks in this frequency band.



# Introduction (continued)

aHomestake  
Array and Wiener  
Filtering

M. Coughlin

Introduction

Array

Coherence

Conclusion

## Caveats to the analysis:

- 1 Assumed that seismic scattering at the Homestake site is representative for seismic scattering of the entire region that needs to be included for NN estimates.
- 2 Array not large enough to explore optimal array design and the many technical issues associated with the calculation of Wiener filters based on a large number of reference channels
- 3 Residual spectra contained a microseismic peak ... why? (body waves and surface waves? scattering?)



# Introduction (continued)

aHomestake  
Array and Wiener  
Filtering

M. Coughlin

Introduction

Array

Coherence

Conclusion

Benefits of aHomestake array:

- 1 The array has significantly larger horizontal spacing than used in the iHomestake analysis.
- 2 Significantly more channels!

We can try to use the larger aHomestake array to test these:

- 1 Distinguishing between body and surface waves
- 2 Whether a larger array with greater variation in station distances would yield even better subtraction over a broader range of frequencies



# Seismic Spectra

aHomestake  
Array and Wiener  
Filtering


M. Coughlin

Introduction

Array

Coherence

Conclusion



(a) Seismic Spectra



# Coherence

aHomestake  
Array and Wiener  
Filtering


M. Coughlin

Introduction

Array

Coherence

Conclusion



(b) Coherence between seismometers



# Coherence as a function of distance

aHomestake  
Array and Wiener  
Filtering


M. Coughlin

Introduction

Array

Coherence

Conclusion



(c) Coherence as a function of distance



# Coherence vs. Relative Location

aHomestake  
Array and Wiener  
Filtering


M. Coughlin

Introduction

Array

Coherence

Conclusion



(d) Coherence vs. Relative Location





# Wiener Filtering

aHomestake  
Array and Wiener  
Filtering


M. Coughlin

Introduction

Array

Coherence

Conclusion



(e) Wiener filtering



# Conclusions

- 1 The aHomestake array covers a wider aperture than that of the original Homestake array
- 2 We can use the aHomestake array to explore the effects of the assumptions of the original analysis
- 3 Numerical issues seem to be limiting the efficacy of the Wiener filters

aHomestake  
Array and Wiener  
Filtering

M. Coughlin

Introduction

Array

Coherence

Conclusion